

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Sox 150 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

Alexaniria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,521	03/27/2001	David Vogt	J1-4-1-A-US	3926	
22852 759	90 11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			VU, THONG H		
LLP					
901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC · 20001-4413		2142		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/819,521	VOGT, DAVID	VOGT, DAVID	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thong H. Vu	2142		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. TSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	Sentember 2005			
2a)		s action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters prosecution as to th	na marite is	
∪(≎	closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	ie ments is	
	·	Ex parto Quayro, 1000 O.I	2. 11, <del>1</del> 00 0.0. 210.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13,16-37,40-61,64-85 and 88-96</u> is	•	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	· /	s/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			CFR 1.121(d).	
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • •	, ,	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3 1 10(4) (4) 51 (1).		
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	•		
	Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			d Stage	
	application from the International Burea	· ·	Treceived in this Nationa	ii Stage	
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	tracaivad	4	
`	see and addition detailed Office action for a list	to the certified copies no	. Teoelveu.		
Attachmen	• •				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u></u> .		

Application/Control Number: 09/819,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-13,16-37,40-61,64-85,88-96 are pending. Claims 1,25,49,73 have been amended. Claims 14,15,38,39, 62,63,86,87 are canceled.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 26-29 filed 9/26/05 with respect to the rejections of claims 1-13,16-37,40-61,64-85,88-96 under Kikinis-Meng have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hunt-Blewett.

## Specification

- 3. The summary of the invention is objected to under 37 CFR 1.73 because it is not commensurate with the invention of claimed. The summary describes information related to an unclaimed business method, see First paragraph. This information must be deleted or moved to another section of the specification. The summary also does not describe the invention of currently claimed. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The specification is objected to because the related application information is incorrect. This application connected directly claim the benefit of US Provisional Application Number 60/136,734. The related application information must also be updated to reflect that 09/579,787 is now US Patent Number x,xxx,xxx. The examiner suggests that the related application information be amended as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/819,521 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

This application is a continuation-in-part of US Application No. 09/579/787, filed May 26,2000 and entitled "Method and Apparatus For Surrogate Control of Network-Based Electronic Transactions," which is incorporated herein by reference, which claims the benefit of US Provisional Application No. 60/136,734, filed may 28,1999, which is incorporated herein by reference.

- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Require a supplemental Oath or Declaration.
- 6. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Art Unit: 2142

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not reflect claimed invention.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

7. Claims 1,25,49 and 73 are objected to because of the following informalities: a first request, a first unit, a first reference, a first javascript function without the second ones. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13,16-37,40-61,64-85,88-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Hunt [6,381,628 B1] over in view of Blewett [5,835,718].

8.

9. As per claim 25, Hunt discloses a proxy server for providing transparent proxy services to a user of a client device, the client device having a browser for retrieving digital content from a data network, wherein the client device, the proxy server and a remote server are connected to the data network, the remote server having a remote server host name [Hunt, a proxy server 55, the remote device 5, Fig 2, transparent, col 13 lines 10-19] the proxy server comprising computer software code for

Art Unit: 2142

receiving a first request from the browser for a first Web page, wherein there is a remote server hostname associated with the remote server and the first request includes the remote server hostname for referencing the first Web page;

requesting the first Web page from the remote server [Hunt, Internet, col 7 line 25];

receiving the first Web page from the remote server [Hunt, Internet, col 7 line 25; the remote device 5, Fig 2];

parsing the first Web page for references (i.e.: pointer) to the remote server [Hunt, return an interface pointer to an interface proxy, parse information, col 12 lines 1-40];

identifying a first reference within a javascript construct, wherein the javascript construct [Hunt, Javascript, col 50 line 13], when performed, would force a page reload by the browser [Hunt, the rewriter inserts an entry to load the DLL, col 44 lines 48-67];

inserting a first javascript function into the modified remote page for modifying references [Hunt, the rewriter inserts an entry to load the DLL, col 44 lines 48-67];

inserting a call to the first javascript function into the javascript construct, wherein the first reference is encapsulated in the function call [Hunt, encapsulate data, col 1 line 67; function call, col 45 lines 49-65];

modifying at least one reference to the remote server in the first unit of digital content to form a modified first unit of digital content by inserting a surrogate server address (or hostname) into the at least one reference [Hunt, modifies for redirection, col

Art Unit: 2142

33 lines 33-45; surrogate process on the server and its address, inserting or removing location constraints on specific components, col 38 lines 35-67 ]

Hunt also taught edited or remove metadata [Hunt, edited or remove metadata, col 41 line 5], with surrogate device and remote device [Hunt, surrogate process and remote access, col 52 line 59-67]; and transmitting the modified first unit of digital content to the browser [Hunt, client program, col 11 lines 38-55].

However Hunt does not explicitly detail

removing a remote server hostname from the at least one reference wherein the surrogate server hostname is different from the remote server hostname;

It was well-known in the art that a proxy service will remove or rewrite the host name (address, URL), and it's obvious that the surrogate server hostname (proxy server) is different from the remote server hostname (or the target server) [see Farber, Brothers, Blewett, Mwikalo, Mason, Colyer references]

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time to incorporate the teaching of by the proxy server rewriting the URL as taught by Blewett [Blewett, the rewriting of URL, col 4 lines 57-67] into the Hunt apparatus in order to utilize the surrogate or redirection process.

Doing so would provide a mechanism for quickly estimating network characteristics [Hunt, col 4 lines 6-16].

Application/Control Number: 09/819,521 Page 7

Art Unit: 2142

10. As per claim 26, Hunt-Blewett disclose there is a proxy server hostname associated with the proxy server, and the surrogate server hostname is the proxy server hostname as inherent feature of proxy server.

- 11. As per claim 27, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for receiving a second request from the browser for a second Web page, wherein the second request identifies the surrogate server hostname as a source of the second Web page as inherent feature of Internet.
- 12. As per claim 28, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for modifying the second request to a modified second request by removing the surrogate server hostname from the second request transmitting the modified second request to the remote server [Hunt, modifies for redirection, col 33 lines 33-45; surrogate process on the server and its address, col 38 lines 35-48]
- 13. As per claim 29, Hunt-Blewett disclose a web server and the data network utilizes TCP/IP and HTTP protocols, the computer software code for modifying the surrogate server hostname to the remote server hostname in the at least one reference [Hunt, modifies for redirection, col 33 lines 33-45; surrogate process on the server and its address, col 38 lines 35-48].

Art Unit: 2142

14.

utilizes TCP/IP and HTTP protocols, wherein the at least one reference includes a local

As per claim 30, Hunt-Blewett disclose a web server and the data network

Page 8

path, the computer software code for inserting the surrogate server hostname into the at

least one reference in place of the remote server hostname making the remote server

hostname server part of the local path of the at least one reference [Hunt, modifies for

redirection, col 33 lines 33-45; surrogate process on the server and its address, col 38

lines 35-48].

As per claim 31, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer proxy code for modifying or 15.

reversing the characters in the remote server hostname to thereby make the remote

server hostname read backwards as inherent feature of proxy server.

16. As per claim 32, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer proxy code for changing the

periods to slashes ("/") in the reversed remote server hostname as inherent feature of

proxy server.

17. As per claim 33, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer proxy code for inserting a

separator between the reversed hostname of the remote server and the remainder of

the path as inherent feature of proxy server.

As per claim 34, Hunt-Blewett disclose the separator comprises a caret ("^") as 18.

inherent feature of proxy server.

Art Unit: 2142

19. As per claim 35, Hunt-Blewett disclose computer software code for inserting a

base reference tag pointing to the surrogate server into the modified remote page [Hunt,

Page 9

modifies for redirection, col 33 lines 33-45; surrogate process on the server and its

address, col 38 lines 35-48].

20. As per claim 36, Hunt-Blewett disclose computer software code for determining if

a base tag is present in the remote page, and if so, then modifying the base tag to point

to the surrogate server by replacing the remote server hostname with the surrogate

server hostname as inherent feature of proxy server.

21. As per claim 37, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code identifying a

first reference, wherein the first reference is to be displayed by the browser and

therefore would be visible to the user, the proxy server code for not modifying the first

reference as inherent feature of proxy server.

22. As per claim 38, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code identifying a

first reference within a java script construct, the proxy server code for inserting a first

java-script function into the modified remote page for modifying references, and

inserting a call to the first java-script function into the java-script construct, whereby the

first reference is encapsulated in the function call as inherent feature of proxy server.

Art Unit: 2142

- 23. As per claim 39, Hunt-Blewett disclose the java-script construct, when performed would force a page reload by the browser [Hunt, Javascript, col 50 line 13].
- 24. As per claim 40, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for identifying a first reference which is associated with any of the following HTML tags: <SRC="">, <HREF="">, <ACTION="">, "<META CONTENT='#;URL'>" the computer software code for modifying the first reference as inherent features of HTML.
- 25. As per claim 41, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code identifying a first reference, wherein the first reference ends with an extension indicating that content identified by the first reference is binary data, the proxy server code for not modifying the first reference as inherent feature of proxy server.
- 26. As per claim 42, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for identifying a first reference, wherein the first reference includes a hostname other than the remote server hostname the proxy server code for not modifying the first reference as inherent feature of proxy server.
- 27. As per claim 43, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for identifying a first reference, wherein the first reference includes a hostname (i.e.: proxy/surrogate name) other than the remote server hostname and the first reference comprises a link, the computer software code for modifying the reference to provide an error message to

Art Unit: 2142

the user if the user selects the link as inherent feature of software code as inherent

Page 11

feature of the proxy code.

28. As per claim 44, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for identifying

a first reference, wherein the first reference is a relative reference or an absolute

reference relative to root, the proxy server code for not modifying the first reference as

inherent feature of the proxy code as inherent feature of the proxy code.

As per claim 45, Hunt-Blewett disclose the computer software code for receiving 29.

a header from the remote server which is of a type which will cause the browser to load

a new page, wherein the header includes a first reference including the remote server

hostname the proxy server code for modifying the first reference the proxy server code

for transmitting the modified header to the browser as inherent feature of the proxy

code.

As per claims 46,47 Hunt-Blewett disclose the header comprises "Location", 30.

"Content-Location" as inherent feature of the proxy code.

31. As per claim 48, Hunt-Blewett disclose the software code for receiving a header

from the remote server which is of a type which will cause the browser to set a cookie,

wherein the header includes a first reference including the remote server hostname the

Art Unit: 2142

proxy server code for modifying the first reference the proxy server code for transmitting the modified header to the browser as inherent feature of the proxy code.

32. Claims 1-24; 49-72 and 73-96 contain the identical limitations as set forth claim 25-48. Therefore, claims 1-24; 49-72; 73-96 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claims 25-48.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thong Vu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2142

THONG VU PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100